

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

**From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY**

To:

COHAUSZ & FLORACK
PATENT- UND RECHTSANWÄLTE
BLEICHSTRASSE 14
DE-402 11 DÜSSELDORF 16. MÄRZ. 2005
TYSKLAND

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 66)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
SC/ik 0302437WO

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

14-03-2005

International application No
PCT/TB2003/002

REPLY DUE

within 60 days from
the above date of mailing

International application No
PCT/IB2003/002

International filing date (*day/month/year*)
20/06/2003

Priority date (*day/month/year*)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

H04Q7/32 - H04M11/08

Applicant
NOKIA CORPORATION ET AL

1. The written opinion established by the International Searching Authority:
 is is not
considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

2. This first (first, etc.) opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

Box No. I Basis of the opinion
 Box No. II Priority
 Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
 Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
 Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
 Box No. VI Certain documents cited
 Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
 Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

3. The applicant is hereby **invited to reply** to this opinion.

When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(c).

How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3.
For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis.
For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.
For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

4. The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 20-10-2005

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE
Patent- och registreringsverket
Box 5055
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM
Facsimile No. 46 8 667 72 88

Authorized officer

Nabil Sebaa /LR
Telephone No. 46 8 782 25 00

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY**

International application No.	PCT/IB2003/002403
-------------------------------	-------------------

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This opinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:

 - international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
 - publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
 - international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (*replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed."*):

the international application as originally filed/furnished

the description:
 pages _____ as originally filed/furnished
 pages _____ received by this Authority on _____
 pages _____ received by this Authority on _____

the claims:
 pages _____ as originally filed/furnished
 pages _____ as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
 pages _____ received by this Authority on _____
 pages _____ received by this Authority on _____

the drawings:
 pages _____ as originally filed/furnished
 pages _____ received by this Authority on _____
 pages _____ received by this Authority on _____

a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description, pages _____
 the claims, Nos. _____
 the drawings, sheets/figs _____
 the sequence listing (*specify*): _____
 any table(s) related to the sequence listing (*specify*): _____
4. This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

the description, pages _____
 the claims, Nos. _____
 the drawings, sheets/figs _____
 the sequence listing (*specify*): _____
 any table(s) related to the sequence listing (*specify*): _____

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY**

International application No.	PCT/IB2003/002403
-------------------------------	-------------------

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
-----------	---

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-17	_____
	Claims		_____
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		_____
	Claims		_____
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims		_____
	Claims		_____

2. Citations and explanations:

The invention concerns a mobile device and a method for perceptively accentuating in the form of e.g. vibration, message elements like smilies of an SMS message (haptic messaging) and deals with current standardisation issues that so far blocks the introduction of haptic messaging in mobile phones from different manufacturers.

The object of the invention is to provide a device and a method for perceptively accentuating message elements of a message without requiring any standardisation of perceptively accentuated messaging.

Cited Document:

D1: US 20020191757A1

Document D1 describes a method and related mobile device for perceptively accentuating message elements of an SMS message (see abstract). According to D1 a set of selected messages (message tags) is defined, wherein these message tags are assigned perceptible accentuating signals (sound effects/graphic effects). These tags assigned with perceptible accentuating signals are included in SMS text messages and are searched in the messages and a corresponding sound or graphical effect is generated or produced. In D1 it is also discussed different alternatives on when to produce the different signals assigned to the tags, i.e. at which velocity, such as dependent on the users ability to scroll the message received, and that the messaging used is not restricted to SMS messages and could be an e-mail (see paragraphs [0057]-[0058], and paragraphs [0074]-0094], and figures 3-5, 7, 8).

Consequently, the claimed invention according to claims 1-17, is considered to lack novelty.